Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,028 NAKAGAWA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit **LEILA MALEK** 2611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) LEILA MALEK. (3)Mohammad Ghayour. (2) Jacques Etkowicz. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 19 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 23-27. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to withdraw the 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20090628